arXiv:1702.06323v1 [math.GR] 21 Feb 2017

LOCAL SPECTRAL GAP IN THE GROUP OF EUCLIDEAN ISOMETRIES

RÉMI BOUTONNET AND ADRIAN IOANA

Abstract. We provide new examples of translation actions on locally compact groups with the "local spectral gap property" introduced in [BISG15]. This property has applications to strong ergodicity, the Banach-Ruziewicz problem, orbit equivalence rigidity, and equidecomposable sets. The main group of study here is the group $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of orientation-preserving isometries of the euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$, for $d \geq 3$. We prove that the translation action of a countable dense subgroup Γ on $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has local spectral gap, whenever the translation action of the rotation projection of Γ on $\mathrm{SO}(d)$ has spectral gap. Our proof relies on the amenability of $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and on work of Lindenstrauss and Varjú, [LV14].

1. Introduction

The main goal of this paper is to establish the so-called *local spectral gap* property for a new class of left translation actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$, where Γ is a countable dense subgroup of a locally compact group G, any m_G is a fixed left-invariant Haar measure of G. This notion was introduced by Salehi-Golsefidy and the authors in [BISG15]. Before giving its precise definition and explaining some of its applications, let us discuss the classical notion of spectral gap for probability measure preserving actions.

A measure preserving action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ of a countable group Γ on a standard probability space (X, μ) is said to have *spectral gap* if there exists a finite set $F \subset \Gamma$ such that the operator T on $L^2(X)$ given by $T\varphi = \frac{1}{|F|}\sum_{g\in F} g \cdot \varphi$ satisfies $\|T\|_{L^2_0(X)} < 1$. Here, we denote by $g \cdot \varphi$ the function $g \cdot \varphi(x) = \varphi(g^{-1}x)$ and by $L^2_0(X) \subset L^2(X)$ the subspace of functions orthogonal to the constants. Note that the condition $\|T\|_{L^2_0(X)} < 1$ is equivalent to the existence of a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_2 \leq \kappa \max_{g\in F} \|g \cdot \varphi - \varphi\|_2, \text{ for every } \varphi \in L^2_0(X). \tag{SG}$$

Spectral gap for probability measure preserving actions is an important tool with a wide range of applications to various areas of mathematics. Given a compact group G, its Haar measure m_G can be taken a probability measure, and thus the left translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ of any countable dense subgroup Γ is probability measure preserving. The spectral gap property has been studied intensively for such translation actions, especially in the case where G is a Lie group (see the introduction of [BISG15] for a detailed account). The first results in this direction were obtained in the 1980s in connection with the Banach-Ruziewicz problem. Thus, it was shown that for every $d \geq 3$ there exists a countable dense subgroup $\Gamma < \mathrm{SO}(d)$ such that the left translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathrm{SO}(d)$ has spectral gap [Ma80, Su81, Dr84]. In 2006, a breakthrough was made by Bourgain and Gamburd who proved that the left translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathrm{SO}(3)$ has spectral gap, whenever $\Gamma < \mathrm{SO}(3)$ is a dense subgroup generated by matrices with algebraic entries [BG06]. This result has been subsequently generalized in [BG10] to $\mathrm{SU}(d)$, for every $d \geq 2$. Most recently, it has been extended by Benoist and de Saxcé to cover arbitrary connected compact simple Lie groups and in particular $\mathrm{SO}(d)$, for every $d \geq 3$ [BdS14].

A.I. was supported in part by NSF Career Grant DMS #1253402 and a Sloan Foundation Fellowship.

In the case when the group G is non-compact, the Haar measure m_G is still invariant under translation actions of subgroups of G, but it is no longer finite. Therefore, the notion of spectral gap does not formally make sense in this setting. As we explain in [BISG15], a good extension is the following *local* notion, obtained by restricting attention to a fixed subset of G with finite measure.

Definition ([BISG15])**.** Consider an arbitrary standard measure space (X, μ) together with a measurable subset $B \subset X$ such that $0 < \mu(B) < \infty$. A measure preserving action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is said to have *local spectral gap* with respect to B if there exist a finite set $F \subset \Gamma$ and a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\text{(LSG)} \qquad \|\varphi\|_{2,B} \leq \kappa \max_{g \in F} \|g \cdot \varphi - \varphi\|_{2,B}, \text{ for every } \varphi \in L^2(X) \text{ with } \int_B \varphi \, \mathrm{d}\mu = 0.$$

Here, $\|\varphi\|_{2,B} := \Big(\int_B |\varphi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu \Big)^{1/2}$ denotes the L^2-norm of the restriction of φ to B.

The main result of [BISG15] is a generalization of the above mentioned results from [BG06, BG10, BdS14] to the non-compact setting. Specifically, let G be a connected simple Lie group, and $B \subset G$ be a bounded measurable set with non-empty interior. It is proved in [BISG15] that the left translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ has local spectral gap with respect to B, whenever $\Gamma < G$ is a dense subgroup whose image through the adjoint representation of G consists of matrices with algebraic entries (after fixing a basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G).

In this paper we study the local spectral gap property for countable dense subgroups of the group $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathbb{R}^d \rtimes \mathrm{SO}(d)$ of orientation-preserving isometries of $\mathbb{R}^d$. If $d \in \{1, 2\}$, then $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is nilpotent and thus the local spectral gap property never holds. If $d \geq 3$, then one approach would be to follow the lines of [BISG15] in order to establish the local spectral gap property for any dense subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ generated by isometries whose rotation and translation components have algebraic entries. However, in this paper, we follow a different approach inspired by and building on the recent work of Lindenstrauss and Varjú [LV14], and prove the following stronger result. In fact, our proof also gives a simpler approach to the main technical result of [LV14] (see Section 3).

Theorem A. *Let $G = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $d \geq 3$, and consider a countable dense subgroup $\Gamma < G$. Assume that the left translation action $\theta(\Gamma) \curvearrowright SO(d)$ has spectral gap, where $\theta : Isom(\mathbb{R}^d) \to SO(d)$ denotes the natural quotient. Let σ denote either the left translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ or the natural isometric action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^d$.*

Then σ has local spectral gap with respect to any bounded measurable set B with non-empty interior.

In combination with results from [BG06, BdS14], Theorem A implies that the actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^d$ have local spectral gap, for any countable dense subgroup $\Gamma < G$ whose rotation projection $\theta(\Gamma)$ consists of matrices with algebraic entries.

As one can check, the local spectral gap property for the quotient action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^d$ follows from that of the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$. The local spectral gap property for the latter action, and thus Theorem A, will be deduced from the following general result.

Theorem B. *Consider $\Gamma < G$ as in Theorem A. Denote by $\lambda : G \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(G))$ the left regular representation of G given by $\lambda(g)\varphi(x) = \varphi(g^{-1}x)$, for every $g, x \in G$ and $\varphi \in L^2(G)$.*

Then for any compact set $K \subset G$, we can find a finite set $F \subset \Gamma$ and a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{g \in K} \|\lambda(g)\varphi - \varphi\|_2 \leq \kappa \max_{g \in F} \|\lambda(g)\varphi - \varphi\|_2, \text{ for every } \varphi \in L^2(G).$$

Let us put this result into perspective. Recall that the amenability of a locally compact group G can be characterized by the existence of a net $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ of unit vectors in $L^2(G)$ satisfying either one of the following three "almost invariance" conditions (see e.g. [BdHV08, Appendix G]):

(i) $\sup_{g\in K} \|\lambda(g)\varphi_n - \varphi_n\|_2 \to 0$, for every compact subset $K \subset G$.
(ii) $\|\lambda(g)\varphi_n - \varphi_n\|_2 \to 0$, for every $g \in G$.
(iii) $\|\lambda(g)\varphi_n - \varphi_n\|_2 \to 0$, for every g belonging to a dense subset $S \subset G$.

In particular, the existence of a net satisfying (ii) or (iii) implies the existence of a net satisfying (i). However, as can be easily seen in the case $G = \mathbb{R}^k$, it is not true in general that any net verifying (ii) or (iii) must verify (i). Nevertheless, Theorem B implies that in the case $G = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d \geq 3$, any net of unit vectors $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ in $L^2(G)$ satisfying (ii) or (iii) for $S = \Gamma$ must necessarily satisfy (i).

One can now deduce Theorem A by making the key observation that, since G is amenable, the last fact implies the local spectral property for $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$. This observation is inspired by Margulis' work [Ma82], and is made precise in Lemma 4.1.

Similarly, one can deduce the local spectral gap property for $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^d$ whenever the estimate from Theorem B holds for the natural representation $\lambda_0 : G \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ in place of λ. Note that in the setting of Theorem A this estimate and thus the local spectral gap property for $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^d$ can be alternatively derived by using the main technical result of [LV14].

Next, we record several general consequences of local spectral gap observed in our particular setting.

Corollary C. *Consider $\Gamma < G$ as in Theorem A. Then the following hold true.*

(1) The left translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is strongly ergodic.
(2) Denote by $\mathcal{C}(G)$ the family of measurable subsets $A \subset G$ with compact closure. Then the Haar measures of G are the only finitely additive measures $\nu : \mathcal{C}(G) \to [0, \infty)$ that are Γ-invariant.
(3) Let Λ be any countable dense subgroup of a connected Lie group H with trivial center. Then the left translation actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright H$ are orbit equivalent if and only if there exists a topological isomorphism $\delta : G \to H$ such that $\delta(\Gamma) = \Lambda$.
(4) Consider two measurable subsets $A, B \subset G$ with non-empty interior and compact closure. Then A and B are measurably Γ-equidecomposable if and only if they have the same Haar measure.

Moreover, the analogous conclusions to (1), (2) and (4) for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^d$ also hold.

Corollary C is obtained by combining Theorem A with results from [BISG15] (parts (1) and (2)), [Io14] (part (3)), and [GMP16] (part (4)). Towards recalling the notions involved in its statement, consider a measure preserving action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ of a countable group on a standard measure space.

In order to recall what it means for the action to be strongly ergodic, since the measure μ can be infinite, we first choose a probability measure μ_0 on X with the same null sets as μ. The action is said to be *strongly ergodic* if any sequence $\{A_n\}_n$ of measurable subsets of X satisfying $\mu_0(g \cdot A_n \,\Delta\, A_n) \to 0$, for all $g \in \Gamma$, must satisfy $\mu_0(A_n)(1 - \mu_0(A_n)) \to 0$ [CW80, Sc80]. It is easy to see that this definition does not depend on the choice of μ_0.

We also recall that $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is *orbit equivalent* to another measure preserving action $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ if there exists a measure class preserving Borel isomorphism $\theta : X \to Y$ such that $\theta(\Gamma \cdot x) = \Lambda \cdot \theta(x)$, for μ-almost every $x \in X$. Thus, (2) can be interpreted to mean that one can completely recognize the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$, up to conjugacy, from the measurable structure of its orbits.

Finally, recall that A and B are Γ-*equidecomposable* if and only if there exist finite partitions into subsets $A = \sqcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $B = \sqcup_{i=1}^n B_i$ such that $B_i = g_i \cdot A_i$ for some $g_i \in \Gamma$, for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$. They are said to be *measurably* equidecomposable if each piece in the above partitions is measurable.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **General notations.** Given any locally compact group H, we denote by $L^2(H)$ the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on H with respect to the Haar measure.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}(H)$ the family of Borel probability measures on H, and by $C_c(H)$ the space of compactly supported continuous functions on H. If $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(H)$, their convolution product $\mu * \nu \in \mathcal{M}(H)$ is defined by

$$\int_H f \, \mathrm{d}(\mu * \nu) = \int_H \int_H f(xy) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \mathrm{d}\nu(y), \text{ for every } f \in C_c(H).$$

For $n \geqslant 1$, $\mu^{*(n)}$ denotes the n-fold convolution product of μ with itself. We denote by $\check{\mu}$ the probability measure given by

$$\int_H f \, \mathrm{d}\check{\mu} = \int_H f(x^{-1}) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x), \text{ for every } f \in C_c(H),$$

and say that μ is *symmetric* if $\check{\mu} = \mu$.

Given a unitary representation $\pi : H \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(H)$, the formula $\pi(\mu) = \int_H \pi(g) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(g)$ defines a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{H}$ with $\|\pi(\mu)\| \leq 1$. Then $\pi(\mu)^* = \pi(\check{\mu})$, and thus $\pi(\mu)$ is self-adjoint whenever μ is symmetric. Moreover, $\pi(\mu * \nu) = \pi(\mu)\pi(\nu)$, for every $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(H)$.

2.2. **A direct integral decomposition.** Let $d \geq 3$ and denote by $G = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathbb{R}^d \rtimes \mathrm{SO}(d)$ the group of orientation-preserving isometries of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Thus, every isometry $g \in G$ can be uniquely written as $g = (v(g), \theta(g))$, where $v(g) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\theta(g) \in \mathrm{SO}(d)$. For $g \in G$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we then have $g(x) = v(g) + \theta(g)x$. The product of two isometries is given by $(v_1, \theta_1)(v_2, \theta_2) = (v_1 + \theta_1(v_2), \theta_1\theta_2)$.

Consider the left regular representation $\lambda : G \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(G))$ of G given by $\lambda(g)\varphi(x) = \varphi(g^{-1}x)$. We identity $L^2(G)$ with $L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d)) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ using the decomposition $G = \mathrm{SO}(d) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^d$, but we continue to write the element $g \in G$ that acts in the form $g = (v, \theta)$, with $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\theta \in \mathrm{SO}(d)$. This way we have

$$\lambda(g)f(\omega, \xi) = f(g^{-1}(\omega, \xi)) = f((\theta^{-1}, -v)(\omega, \xi)) = f(\theta^{-1}\omega, \xi - \omega^{-1}v), \tag{2.1}$$

for every $f \in L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d)) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\omega \in \mathrm{SO}(d)$, and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Now, we rewrite the representation λ using the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We let $e(y) := e^{-i2\pi y}$, for $y \in \mathbb{R}$, and denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $|x| = \langle x, x \rangle^{1/2}$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the usual inner product and norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We denote by $\mathscr{F} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Fourier transform given by

$$\mathscr{F}(f)(x) = \int f(\xi) e(\langle x, \xi \rangle) \, \mathrm{d}\xi, \text{ for every } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We denote by $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}$ the operator $\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathscr{F}$ on $L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d)) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $f \in L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d)) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By using (2.1) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathscr{F}}(\lambda(g)f)(\omega, x) &= \int f(\theta^{-1}\omega, \xi - \omega^{-1}v) e(\langle x, \xi \rangle) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \\
&= e(\langle x, \omega^{-1}v \rangle) \int f(\theta^{-1}\omega, \xi) e(\langle x, \xi \rangle) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \\
&= e(\langle \omega x, v \rangle) \tilde{\mathscr{F}}(f)(\theta^{-1}\omega, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $(\tilde{\mathscr{F}}\lambda(g)\tilde{\mathscr{F}}^{-1})f(\omega,x) = e(\langle \omega x, v\rangle)f(\theta^{-1}\omega, x)$, for every $f \in L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d)) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define a unitary representation $\pi_x : G \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d))$ by letting

$$\pi_x(g)\varphi(\omega) = e(\langle \omega x, v\rangle)\varphi(\theta^{-1}\omega), \text{ for every } \varphi \in L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d)). \tag{2.2}$$

Thus, if we disintegrate $L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d)) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}^{\oplus} L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d))\, \mathrm{d}x$, then

$$\tilde{\mathscr{F}}\lambda\tilde{\mathscr{F}}^{-1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}^{\oplus} \pi_x \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{2.3}$$

3. Main technical result

In this section we generalize an estimate due to Lindenstrauss and Varjú, [LV14, Theorem 2.1]. Our proof relies on ingredients already used in [LV14] (see also [CG11, Section 3] for related ideas), but it is much simpler. Specifically, we use certain elementary spectral gap estimates contained in [LV14, Section 3], but neither flattening arguments nor Littlewood-Paley decompositions.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G = Isom(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $d \geq 3$, and consider the representations π_x, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, introduced in the previous section. Consider a symmetric probability measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ and define operators $T_x := \pi_x(\mu)$ on $L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d))$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We make the following assumptions:*

(1) There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|T_0\varphi\|_2 \leq (1-\alpha)\|\varphi\|_2$, for every $\varphi \in L^2_0(\mathrm{SO}(d))$;
(2) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have $\mu(\{g \in G \,|\, gx \neq x\}) > 0$.

Then there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ (depending on d and μ) such that

$$\|T_x\| \leq 1 - c_0 \min(|x|^2, 1), \text{ for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Remark 3.2. Proceeding as in [LV14], we could express explicitly the constant c_0 in terms of μ under certain finite moment assumptions on the translation part of μ. Since this aspect is not relevant for our purposes, we will not pursue it here.

Remark 3.3. Theorem 2.1 in [LV14] proves an estimate of the form $\|S_r\| \leq 1 - c\min(r^2, 1)$ for all $r \geq 0$, where $S_r := \rho_r(\mu)$ and ρ_r denotes the representation of G on $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ defined by

$$\rho_r(g)\varphi(\xi) = e(r\langle \xi, v(g)\rangle)\varphi(\theta(g)^{-1}\xi).$$

We point out that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the following inequality holds

$$\|S_{|x|}\| \leq \|T_x\|. \tag{3.1}$$

Indeed, denote by $K_x = \{\theta \in \mathrm{SO}(d) | \theta(x) = x\}$ the stabilizer of x in $\mathrm{SO}(d)$ and by $L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d))^{K_x} \subset L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d))$ the subspace of right K_x-invariant functions. Since π_x commutes with the right action of K_x, the subspace $L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d))^{K_x}$ is $\pi_x(G)$-invariant. Identifying $\mathrm{SO}(d)/K_x$ with $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we see that the restriction of π_x to $L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d))^{K_x}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\rho_{|x|}$. Hence, (3.1) follows.

For the rest of this section, we fix a symmetric probability measure μ on G. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote by $T_x = \pi_x(\mu)$ the corresponding averaging operator on $L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d))$, where π_x is defined in the previous section. We will bound $\|T_x\|$ by treating separately the case when $|x|$ is large and the case $|x|$ when is small.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $\|T_0\varphi\|_2 \leq \|\varphi\|_2/2$, for every $\varphi \in L^2_0(\mathrm{SO}(d))$. Then there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $|x| \geq |y|/2$, we have*

$$1 - \|T_y\| \leq C(1 - \|T_x\|).$$

Proof. We start with a claim.

Claim. For all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|a| = |b|$, we have $\|T_a\| = \|T_b\|$.

Take an element $h \in \mathrm{SO}(d)$ such that $b = ha$. Denote by $\sigma : \mathrm{SO}(d) \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d)))$ the right regular representation, and observe that for all $\varphi \in L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d))$ and $\omega \in \mathrm{SO}(d)$,

$$\sigma(h)T_a\varphi(\omega) = (T_a\varphi)(\omega h) = \int_G e(\langle \omega h a, v(g)\rangle)\varphi(\theta(g)^{-1}\omega h)\mathrm{d}\mu(g) = T_b\sigma(h)\varphi(\omega).$$

This computation gives that $T_b = \sigma(h)T_a\sigma(h)^{-1}$, and the claim follows.

Since $|x| \geq |y|/2$, we may find $x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|x'| = |x|$ and $|x - x'| = |y|$. From the claim, we have $\|T_x\| = \|T_{x'}\|$ and $\|T_y\| = \|T_{x-x'}\|$.

Next, we use some of the arguments in [LV14, Section 3] to conclude. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we say that a function $\varphi \in L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d))$ with unit norm is *ε-invariant* for T_x if $\|T_x\varphi\|_2 \geq 1 - \varepsilon^2$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $1 - \varepsilon^2 < \|T_x\|$. By the above claim we also have $1 - \varepsilon^2 < \|T_{x'}\|$. So we can find unit vectors $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in L^2(SO(d))$ which are ε-invariant for T_x and $T_{x'}$, respectively. Using the assumption on T_0 and arguing as in the proof of [LV14, Lemma 3.3] we find an absolute constant $C > 0$, and functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in L^2(SO(d))$ such that $|\psi_i| \equiv 1$ and $\|\psi_i - \varphi_i\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon$ for both $i = 1, 2$, and ψ_1 and ψ_2 are $C\varepsilon$-invariant for T_x and $T_{x'}$, respectively.

Observing that

$$T_{x-x'}(\psi_1\overline{\psi_2}) = \int_G \pi_x(g)\psi_1 \cdot \overline{\pi_{x'}(g)\psi_2}\,\mathrm{d}\mu(g),$$

and repeating the proof of [LV14, Lemma 3.4] implies that $\psi_1\overline{\psi}_2 \in L^2(SO(d))$ is a $C'\varepsilon$-invariant unit vector for $T_{x-x'}$, for some absolute constant $C' > 0$. This leads to $\|T_{x-x'}\| \geq 1 - C'^2\varepsilon^2$, hence

$$1 - \|T_y\| = 1 - \|T_{x-x'}\| \leq C'^2\varepsilon^2,$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying $1 - \varepsilon^2 < \|T_x\|$. This proves that $1 - \|T_y\| \leq C'^2(1 - \|T_x\|)$, as wanted. ∎

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that the measure μ is compactly supported, that $\int_G v(g)\,d\mu(g) = 0$ and that $\mu(\{g \in G \,|\, v(g) \neq 0\}) > 0$. Assume moreover that $\|T_0\varphi\|_2 \leq \|\varphi\|_2/2$, for every $\varphi \in L^2_0(\mathrm{SO}(d))$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $|x| \leq c$, we have*

$$\|T_x\| \leq 1 - c|x|^2.$$

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as the proof of [LV14, Lemma 3.6]. Put $C := \int_G |v(g)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\mu(g)$. We claim that $\|T_x\varphi - T_0\varphi\|_2 \leq 2\pi C^{1/2}|x|\|\varphi\|_2$, for every $\varphi \in L^2(SO(d))$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_x\varphi - T_0\varphi\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathrm{SO}(d)} |(T_x - T_0)\varphi(\omega)|^2\mathrm{d}\omega \\ &\leq \int_{\mathrm{SO}(d)}\int_G |e(\langle \omega x, v(g)\rangle) - 1|^2|\varphi(\theta(g)^{-1}\omega)|^2\mathrm{d}\mu(g)\mathrm{d}\omega\end{aligned}$$

Since $|e(\langle \omega x, v(g)\rangle) - 1|^2 = 2 - 2\cos(2\pi\langle \omega x, v(g)\rangle) \leq 4\pi^2|v(g)|^2|x|^2$, for all $g \in G$ and $\omega \in SO(d)$, the claim follows. By using the triangle inequality and the assumption on T_0, we get that if $|x|$ is small enough, then

$$\|T_x\varphi\|_2 \leq 2^{-1/2}\|\varphi\|_2, \text{ for all } \varphi \in L^2_0(\mathrm{SO}(d)). \tag{3.2}$$

Now, since $|e(\langle \omega x, v(g)\rangle) - 1 + i2\pi\langle \omega x, v(g)\rangle| \leq 4\pi^2|v(g)|^2|x|^2$, for all $g \in G$ and $\omega \in SO(d)$, by integrating we get that $\|T_x 1 - 1\|_2 \leq 4\pi^2 C|x|^2$. Since $\|T_x\| \leq 1$, this further implies that

$$\|T_x^2 1 - 1\|_2 \leq 2\|T_x 1 - 1\|_2 \leq 8\pi^2 C|x|^2. \tag{3.3}$$

Next, if we put $\tilde{\mu} := \mu * \mu$, then we have

$$\|T_x 1\|_2^2 = \langle T_x^* T_x 1, 1\rangle = \int_{\mathrm{SO}(d)} \int_G e(\langle \omega x, v(g)\rangle) \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}(g) \mathrm{d}\omega = \int_{\mathrm{SO}(d)} \int_G \cos(2\pi \langle \omega x, v(g)\rangle) \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}(g) \mathrm{d}\omega,$$

where the last equality is obtained by taking real parts. The assumptions made on μ imply that $\int_G |v(g)|^2 \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}(g) = 2C$ (see [LV14, Lemma 8.2]). By using that $\int_{SO(d)} \langle \omega x, v\rangle^2 \mathrm{d}\omega = |x|^2|v|^2/d$ and $\cos(a) \leq 1 - a^2/2 + a^4/24$, for all $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and the last displayed formula, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_x 1\|_2^2 - (1 - \frac{4\pi^2 C}{d}|x|^2) &= \int_{\mathrm{SO}(d)} \int_G \cos(2\pi \langle \omega x, v(g)\rangle) - (1 - (2\pi \langle \omega x, v(g)\rangle)^2/2) \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}(g) \mathrm{d}\omega \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi^4}{3}|x|^4 \int_G |v(g)|^4 \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}(g). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we deduce that if $|x|$ is small enough, then

$$\|T_x 1\|_2^2 \leq 1 - \frac{2\pi^2 C}{d}|x|^2. \tag{3.4}$$

The conclusion now follows by combining (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), and proceeding exactly as in the proof of [LV14, Lemma 3.6] with T_x and $|x|$ instead of S_r and r. ■

We can now prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let μ be a symmetric probability measure on G satisfying assumptions (1) and (2). Following an idea from the proof of [LV14, Lemma 9.1], we first reduce to the case when μ is compactly supported by restricting it to a sufficiently large ball.

For $s > 0$, we denote by $B_s \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ the ball of radius s centered at 0, and set $K_s := B_s \cdot \mathrm{SO}(d) \subset G$. Write μ_s^0 for the restriction of μ to K_s given by $\mu_s(A) = \mu(A \cap K_s)$ for all measurable sets $A \subset G$, and set $\nu_s^0 := \mu - \mu_s^0$. Finally, consider the normalized measures $\mu_s := \mu_s^0/\mu(K_s)$ and $\nu_s := \nu_s^0/\mu(G \setminus K_s)$.

Take $s > 0$ large enough so that $\beta := \mu(G \setminus K_s) < \alpha/2$, where α is the constant appearing in assumption (1). Since $\alpha \leq 1$, we have $\mu(K_s) > 0$. Since $\mu = (1-\beta)\mu_s + \beta\nu_s$, by using (1) we get

$$\|\pi_0(\mu_s)\varphi\|_2 \leq \frac{\|\pi_0(\mu)\varphi\|_2 + \beta\|\pi_0(\nu_s)\varphi\|_2}{1-\beta} \leq \frac{1-\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta}\|\varphi\|_2, \text{ for all } \varphi \in L_0^2(\mathrm{SO}(d)). \tag{3.5}$$

In particular, μ_s satisfies condition (1), possibly with a different choice of $\alpha > 0$.

We claim that there exists at most one point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\mu_s(\{g \,|\, gx_0 \neq x_0\}) = 0$. Indeed, if we had two distinct such points x_0 and x_1, then μ_s-almost surely, $\theta(g)$ would fix $x_0 - x_1$. In this case the L^2-function on $\mathrm{SO}(d)$ defined by $\omega \mapsto \langle \omega(x_0 - x_1), x_0 - x_1\rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ would be non-constant and $\pi_0(\mu_s)$-invariant, contradicting the spectral gap property (3.5). This proves our claim.

If such an x_0 does not exist, then μ_s also satisfies condition (2). If such an x_0 does exist, then since $\mu(\{g \,|\, gx_0 \neq x_0\}) > 0$, we may find $s' > s$ such that $\mu_{s'}(\{g \,|\, gx_0 \neq x_0\}) > 0$. This implies that $\mu_{s'}$ satisfies condition (2). Indeed, if $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a point fixed by $\mu_{s'}$-almost every $g \in G$, then since $\mu_s \leq \kappa\mu_{s'}$ for some $\kappa > 0$, we would get x_1 is fixed by μ_s-almost every $g \in G$. By the previous paragraph, this would force that $x_1 = x_0$, contradicting that $\mu_{s'}(\{g \,|\, gx_0 \neq x_0\}) > 0$. Moreover, since $s' > s$ we have that $\mu(G \setminus K_{s'}) < \alpha/2$ and the computation from (3.5) shows that $\mu_{s'}$ also satisfies condition (1).

In summary, we have found $s' > 0$ such that $t := \mu(K_{s'}) > 0$ and $\mu_{s'}$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2). Since $\mu = t\mu_{s'} + (1-t)\nu_{s'}$, proving the conclusion for μ reduces to proving the conclusion for $\mu_{s'}$. Since $\mu_{s'}$ is compactly supported, it follows that we may assume that μ is compactly supported.

Next, since $T_0 = \pi_0(\mu)$ satisfies the spectral gap condition (1), there is no non-zero vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\theta(g)x = x$, for every g in the support of μ. Indeed, otherwise $\mathrm{SO}(d) \ni \theta \mapsto \langle \theta x, x\rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ would be a non-constant T_0-invariant function belonging to $L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d))$.

By [Va12, Lemma 4], there exists a unique $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\int g(a)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(g) = a$. Thus, if $\tau \in G$ is such that $a = \tau(0)$, and $\delta_\tau \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ denotes the Dirac mass at τ, then $\mu_1 := \check{\delta}_\tau * \mu * \delta_\tau$ satisfies $\int v(g)\, \mathrm{d}\mu_1(g) = \int g(0)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(g) = 0$. Moreover, μ_1 is compactly supported, and $\mu_1(\{g \,|\, v(g) \neq 0\}) > 0$, since μ satisfies (1).

Let $\ell \geq 1$ such that $\|\pi_0(\mu_1)\|^\ell_{L^2_0(\mathrm{SO}(d))} = \|\pi_0(\mu)\|^\ell_{L^2_0(\mathrm{SO}(d))} \leq 1/2$, and define $\mu_2 := \mu_1^{*(\ell)}$. Then μ_2 satisfies all the assumptions of Lemma 3.5. Therefore we can find a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $|x| \leq c$, we have

$$\|\pi_x(\mu_2)\| \leq 1 - c|x|^2.$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 to some $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|y| = c$, we find an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $|x| \geq c$, we have

$$\|\pi_x(\mu_2)\| \leq 1 - Cc^3.$$

Combining the last two displayed equalities yields a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|\pi_x(\mu_2)\| \leq 1 - c_1 \min(|x|^2, 1), \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Since $\pi_x(\mu_2) = \pi_x(\mu_1)^\ell = \pi_x(\tau)\pi_x(\mu)^\ell \pi_x(\tau)^{-1}$, we conclude that

$$\|\pi_x(\mu)\| = \|\pi_x(\mu_2)\|^{1/\ell} \leq (1 - c_1 \min(|x|^2, 1))^{1/\ell} \leq 1 - \frac{c_1}{\ell} \min(|x|^2, 1), \text{ for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This proves the result. ∎

4. Proofs of the main results

In this section, we explain how to deduce Theorem B from Theorem 3.1, and Theorem A from Theorem B. Finally, we prove Corollary C.

4.1. **Proof of Theorem B.** Let $\Gamma < G$ be as in the statement of Theorem A. We claim that there exists a symmetric finitely supported probability measure ν on Γ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ be a symmetric measure whose support generates Γ. Then μ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Let $\{F_n\}_n$ be an increasing sequence of symmetric finite subsets of Γ such that $\cup_n F_n = \Gamma$. For every n with $\mu(F_n) > 0$, define $\mu_n \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ by letting $\mu_n(A) = \mu(A \cap F_n)/\mu(F_n)$, for any set $A \subset G$. Then repeating the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that $\nu := \mu_n$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, for all large enough n.

Thus, we can find a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that $\|\pi_x(\nu)\| \leq 1 - c_0 \min(|x|^2, 1)$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For every $\varphi \in L^2(SO(d))$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $h \in G$, we have the inequalities

$$\int_G \|\pi_x(g)\varphi - \varphi\|_2^2 \, \mathrm{d}\nu(g) = \langle (2 - 2\pi_x(\nu))(\varphi), \varphi\rangle \geq 2c_0 \min\{|x|^2, 1\}\|\varphi\|_2^2, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\|\pi_x(h)\varphi - \varphi\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathrm{SO}(d)} |e^{i2\pi\langle \omega x, v(h)\rangle} - 1|^2 |\varphi(\theta(h)^{-1}\omega)|^2 \mathrm{d}\omega \leq 4\pi^2 |x|^2 |v(h)|^2 \|\varphi\|_2^2. \tag{4.2}$$

Denote by $F \subset \Gamma$ the support of ν and fix a compact subset $K \subset G$. Take $\varphi \in L^2(G)$ and write $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_x \mathrm{d}x$, where $\varphi_x \in L^2(\mathrm{SO}(d))$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. According to (2.3), for $h \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\lambda(h)\varphi - \varphi\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\pi_x(h)\varphi_x - \varphi_x\|_2^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{|x|\leq 1} \|\pi_x(h)\varphi_x - \varphi_x\|_2^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{|x|>1} \|\pi_x(h)\varphi_x - \varphi_x\|_2^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \int_{|x|\leq 1} 4\pi^2|x|^2|v(h)|^2\|\varphi_x\|_2^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + 4\int_{|x|>1} \|\varphi_x\|_2^2 \,\mathrm{d}x,\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (4.2). Denoting $C = \dfrac{2\pi^2}{c_0}(\sup\limits_{h\in K}|v(h)|^2) + \dfrac{2}{c_0}$ and applying (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{h\in K}\|\lambda(h)\varphi - \varphi\|_2^2 &\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_G \|\pi_x(g)\varphi_x - \varphi_x\|_2^2 \,\mathrm{d}\nu(g) \\ &= C\int_G \|\lambda(g)\varphi - \varphi\|_2^2 \,\mathrm{d}\nu(g) \\ &\leq C\sup_{g\in F}\|\lambda(g)\varphi - \varphi\|_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the result. ∎

4.2. **Deducing Theorem A.** The following lemma, inspired by the proof of [Ma82, Theorem 3], explains why Theorem B implies Theorem A.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Γ be a countable dense subgroup of an amenable locally compact second countable group G. Assume that for all sequences $\varphi_n \in L^2(G)$ satisfying $\|\lambda(g)\varphi_n - \varphi_n\|_2 \to 0$, for all $g \in \Gamma$, and $\|\varphi_n\|_2 = 1$, for all n, we have that $\sup_{g\in K}\|\lambda(g)\varphi_n - \varphi_n\|_2 \to 0$, for every compact set $K \subset G$. Then the left translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ has local spectral gap.*

Proof. Let m be a left Haar measure of G, and $B \subset G$ be a measurable set with non-empty interior and compact closure. In order prove the conclusion, it suffices to argue that there is no sequence $\xi_n \in L^2(G)$ such that $\|\xi_n\|_{2,B} = 1$ and $\int_B \xi_n \,\mathrm{d}m = 0$, for every n, and $\|\lambda(g)\xi_n - \xi_n\|_{2,B} \to 0$, for every $g \in \Gamma$. Towards a contradiction, we prove that the "mass" of ξ_n becomes equidistributed:

Claim. There is $\alpha > 0$ such that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\int_G F|\xi_n|^2 \,\mathrm{d}m = \alpha\int_G F \,\mathrm{d}m$, for every $F \in C_c(G)$.

Proof of the claim. It is clearly sufficient to show that the claim holds for a subsequence of $\{\xi_n\}$.

Since $\|\lambda(g^{-1})\xi_n - \xi_n\|_{2,B} \to 0$ and $\|\xi_n\|_{2,B} = 1$, we get that $\|\xi_n\|_{2,gB} \to 1$, thus $\sup_n \|\xi_n\|_{2,gB} < \infty$, for every $g \in \Gamma$. If $C \subset G$ is a compact set, then C can be covered with finitely many of the sets $\{gB\}_{g\in\Gamma}$, and therefore $\sup_n \|\xi_n\|_{2,C} < \infty$. This implies that we can find a Radon measure μ on G and a subsequence $\{\xi_{n_k}\}$ of $\{\xi_n\}$ such that $\int_G F|\xi_{n_k}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}m \to \int_G F \,\mathrm{d}\mu$, for every $F \in C_c(G)$.

Let $F \in C_c(G)$. Denote by C the support of F and let $g \in \Gamma$. Since C and $g^{-1}C$ can be covered with finitely many of the sets $\{hB\}_{h\in\Gamma}$, we have that $\|\lambda(g)\xi_n - \xi_n\|_{2,C} \to 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_G (\lambda(g^{-1})F - F)|\xi_n|^2 \,\mathrm{d}m &= \int_G F(|\lambda(g)\xi_n|^2 - |\xi_n|^2) \,\mathrm{d}m \\ &\leq \|F\|_\infty \||\lambda(g)\xi_n|^2 - |\xi_n|^2\|_{1,C} \\ &\leq \|F\|_\infty \|\lambda(g)\xi_n - \xi_n\|_{2,C}(\|\lambda(g)\xi_n\|_{2,C} + \|\xi_n\|_{2,C})\end{aligned}$$

we deduce that $\int_G \lambda(g^{-1})F \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_G F \, \mathrm{d}\mu$. Since $F \in C_c(G)$ and $g \in \Gamma$ are arbitrary, we conclude that μ is Γ-invariant. Since $\Gamma < G$ is dense, μ must be G-invariant, and therefore there is $\alpha > 0$ such that $\mu = \alpha\, m$. This finishes the proof of the claim. □

Next, we claim that $1_C\xi_n \to 0$, weakly in $L^2(G)$, for every compact set $C \subset G$. Indeed, since $\sup_n \|\xi_n\|_{2,C} < \infty$, for every $C \subset G$ compact, after replacing $\{\xi_n\}$ with a subsequence, we can find $\xi \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(G)$ such that $1_C\xi_n \to 1_C\xi$, weakly, for every $C \subset G$ compact. But then ξ is Γ-invariant and since $\Gamma < G$ is dense, ξ must be constant. On the other hand, $\int_B \xi \, \mathrm{d}m = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_B \xi_n \, \mathrm{d}m = 0$. By combining these facts we get that $\xi = 0$, almost everywhere, which proves our claim.

Now, let $K \subset G$ be a non-negligible compact set. The hypothesis implies the existence of a finite set $S \subset \Gamma$ and $\delta > 0$ such that if $\varphi \in L^2(G)$ satisfies $\max_{g\in S} \|\lambda(g)\varphi - \varphi\|_2 \leq \delta\|\varphi\|_2$, then $\sup_{g\in K} \|\lambda(g)\varphi - \varphi\|_2 \leq \|\varphi\|_2/2$.

Finally, since G is amenable we can find $F \in C_c(G)$ such that $\max_{g\in S} \|\lambda(g)F - F\|_2 < \delta\|F\|_2$. Let C be the support of F. Define $\varphi_n = F\xi_n \in L^2(G)$, for all n. Then for every $g \in S$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\lambda(g)\varphi_n - \varphi_n\|_2 &\leq \|\lambda(g)F \cdot (\lambda(g)\xi_n - \xi_n)\|_2 + \|(\lambda(g)F - F)\cdot \xi_n\|_2\\ &\leq \|F\|_\infty \|\lambda(g)\xi_n - \xi_n\|_{2,gC} + \|(\lambda(g)F - F)\cdot \xi_n\|_2.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the claim implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|f\xi_n\|_2 = \sqrt{\alpha}\|f\|_2$, for every $f \in C_c(G)$. In combination with the last inequality we conclude that for every $g \in S$ we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|\lambda(g)\varphi_n - \varphi_n\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\alpha}\|\lambda(g)F - F\|_2 < \delta\sqrt{\alpha}\|F\|_2 = \delta \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n\|_2.$$

By the above, we derive that there is $N \geq 1$ such that $\sup_{g\in K} \|\lambda(g)\varphi_n - \varphi_n\|_2 < \|\varphi_n\|_2/2$, for all $n \geq N$. Let $T : L^2(G) \to L^2(G)$ be the operator given by $T(\varphi) = \dfrac{1_K}{m(K)} * \varphi$. Then

$$\|T(\varphi_n) - \varphi_n\|_2 < \|\varphi_n\|_2/2, \text{ for all } n \geq N. \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand, the restriction of T to $L^2(C)$ is compact. Since $\varphi_n \in L^2(C)$, for every n, and $\varphi_n \to 0$, weakly, we deduce that $\|T(\varphi_n)\|_2 \to 0$. In combination with (4.3) this implies that $\|\varphi_n\|_2 \to 0$, which contradicts that $\|\varphi_n\|_2 \to \sqrt{\alpha}\|F\|_2 > 0$. ■

4.3. **Proof of Corollary C.** By Theorem A, the left translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ has local spectral gap with respect to any bounded measurable set $A \subset G$ with non-empty interior. Items (1) and (2) then follow from [BISG15, Theorem 7.1], while item (3) follows from [Io14, Theorem 4.1].

In order to prove (4), we will use the recent work of Grabowski, Máthe, and Pikhurko [GMP16]. Let $A, B \subset G$ be two bounded measurable subsets with non-empty interior. By [GMP16, Corollary 4.5] the local spectral gap property can be rephrased as an expansion property. In particular, any bounded measurable subset with non-empty interior, hence in particular A, is a domain of expansion for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$. Thus, [GMP16, Theorem 1.5] implies that A and B are measurably Γ-equidecomposable if and only if they are Γ-equidecomposable and have the same Haar measure.

Hence, we are left to prove that A and B are Γ-equidecomposable. Note that since the translation action of $\theta(\Gamma)$ on $\mathrm{SO}(d)$ has spectral gap, the group Γ is non-amenable. Thus, since G is connected, the assumptions of [BISG15, Lemma 7.11] are satisfied. This implies the desired conclusion.

For the moreover part, one can argue exactly the same way, provided that the analogue of [BISG15, Lemma 7.11] for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^d$ holds true. This is addressed in the next lemma. ■

Lemma 4.2. *Let $G = \mathbb{R}^d \rtimes SO(d)$, for some $d \geq 3$, and $\Gamma < G$ be a countable dense subgroup. Then any sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with compact closure and non-empty interior are Γ-equidecomposable.*

Proof. We follow the proof [BISG15, Lemma 7.11]. For every $r \geq 0$, let $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d |\ |x| \leq r\}$. Using the argument from the end of the proof of [BISG15, Lemma 7.11] and the terminology therein, in order to get the conclusion, it suffices to argue that B_1 is Γ-paradoxical. Assume by contradiction that B_1 is not Γ-paradoxical. Then by a theorem of Tarski we can find a Γ-invariant, finitely additive measure $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to [0, \infty]$ such that $\varphi(B_1) = 1$.

Since G is topologically perfect, a result of Breuillard and Gelander [BrG02, Theorem 1.2] implies the existence of a finitely generated non-abelian free subgroup $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma$ which is still dense in G. Let $a_1, ..., a_\ell$ be free generators of Γ_0, and define the set

$$S = \{g \in G \,|\, \det(\theta(g) - 1) \neq 0 \text{ and } g \notin \overline{\langle a_i \rangle}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}.$$

Since S is open and dense in G, we can find a sequence $g_n \in \Gamma_0 \cap S$ such that $g_n \to 1_G$. If we fix $n \geq 1$, there there is at most one point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $g_n(x) = x$. Indeed, if $g_n(x) = x$ and $g_n(y) = y$, then $x - y$ belongs to the kernel of $\theta(g) - 1$ and thus $x = y$. Since $\Gamma_0 < G$ is dense, we can find $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ such that $a_i(x) \neq x$. Since $g_n \notin \langle a_i \rangle$, the group $\langle g_n, a_i \rangle$ generated by g_n and a_i is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2$. Thus, if we put $h_n := a_i^{-1} g_n a_i$, then $\langle g_n, h_n \rangle$ is also isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2$. Moreover, $h_n \to 1_G$, and since $a_i(x) \neq x$, we get that $\langle g_n, h_n \rangle$ does not fix any point in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Next, define $X = \sqcup_{n \geq 1} X_n$, with $X_n = \mathbb{R}^d$, to be the disjoint union of infinitely many copies of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let a and b be two free generators of $\mathbb{F}_2$. We define an action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright X$ by letting $a \cdot x = g_n(x)$ and $b \cdot x = h_n(x)$, for every $n \geq 1$ and $x \in X_n$. Note that $\mathbb{F}_2$ does not fix any point in X.

Let $s > 0$ be a point at which the function $r \mapsto \varphi(B_r)$ is continuous. Define a finitely additive measure $\Phi : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to [0, 1]$ by $\Phi(A) = \varphi(A \cap B_s)/\varphi(B_s)$. Let $\lim_n$ be a bounded linear functional on $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ which extends the usual limit, and define $\Psi : \mathcal{P}(X) \to [0, 1]$ by letting $\Psi(A) = \lim_n \Phi(A \cap X_n)$. Then the argument from the proof of [BISG15, Lemma 7.11] shows that Ψ is an $\mathbb{F}_2$-invariant, finitely additive measure with $\Psi(X) = 1$. This further implies the existence of a sequence of finite subsets $\{F_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ of X satisfying

$$\frac{|g \cdot F_m \triangle F_m|}{|F_m|} \to 0, \text{ for every } g \in \mathbb{F}_2. \tag{4.4}$$

Note that we may assume that for every $m \geq 1$, there is $n(m) \geq 1$ such that $F_m \subset X_{n(m)}$. Moreover, we claim that $|F_m| \to \infty$. Otherwise, after replacing $\{F_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ with a subsequence, we would get that F_m is $\mathbb{F}_2$-invariant, for large enough m. Thus, the center of mass of F_m would be $\mathbb{F}_2$-invariant, for large enough m, contradicting that $\mathbb{F}_2$ does not fix any point in X.

For every $n \geq 1$, let $\tilde{X}_n := \{(x_1, ..., x_d) \in X_n^d |\ x_i \neq x_j, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq d\}$. Put $\tilde{X} = \sqcup_{n \geq 1} \tilde{X}_n$, and consider the diagonal action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \tilde{X}$ given by $a \cdot x = (g_n(x_1), ..., g_n(x_d))$ and $b \cdot x = (h_n(x_1), ..., h_n(x_d))$, for every $n \geq 1$ and $x = (x_1, ..., x_d) \in \tilde{X}_n$. Since the identity is the only orientation-preserving isometry of $\mathbb{R}^d$ which fixes d distinct points in $\mathbb{R}^d$, the action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \tilde{X}$ is free.

Finally, for every $m \geq 1$, let $\tilde{F}_m := \{(x_1, ..., x_d) \in F_m^d |\ x_i \neq x_j, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq d\}$. Since $F_m \subset X_{n(m)}$, we have that $\tilde{F}_m \subset \tilde{X}_{n(m)} \subset \tilde{X}$. Moreover, we have that $|\tilde{F}_m| \geq |F_m|^d - \binom{d}{2} |F_m|^{d-1}$ and $|g \cdot \tilde{F}_m \triangle \tilde{F}_m| \leq d\ |g \cdot F_m \triangle F_m|\ |F_m|^{d-1}$, for every $g \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Since $|F_m| \to \infty$, by using 4.4 we derive that $|g \cdot \tilde{F}_m \triangle \tilde{F}_m|/|\tilde{F}_m| \to 0$, for every $g \in \mathbb{F}_2$. However, since the action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \tilde{X}$ is free, this contradicts the non-amenability of $\mathbb{F}_2$. ∎

References

[BdHV08] M. Bekka, P. de la Harpe, A. Valette: *Kazhdan's property (T)*, New Mathematical Monographs, 11. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008. xiv+472 pp.

[BdS14] Y. Benoist, N. de Saxcé: *A spectral gap theorem in simple Lie groups*, Invent. Math. **205** (2016), 337-361.

[BG06] J. Bourgain, A. Gamburd: *On the spectral gap for finitely-generated subgroups of $SU(2)$*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), 83-121.

[BG10] J. Bourgain, A. Gamburd: *A spectral gap theorem in $SU(d)$*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **14** (2012), 1455-1511.

[BISG15] R. Boutonnet, A. Ioana, A. Salehi Golsefidy: *Local spectral gap in simple Lie groups and applications*, arXiv:1503.06473, to appear in Invent. Math.

[BrG02] E. Breuillard, T. Gelander: *On dense free subgroups of Lie groups*, J. Algebra **261** (2003), 448-467.

[CW80] A. Connes, B. Weiss: *Property T and asymptotically invariant sequences*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 209-210.

[CG11] J.-P. Conze, Y. Guivarc'h: *Ergodicity of group actions and spectral gap, applications to random walks and Markov shifts*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **33** (2013), no. 9, 4239-4269.

[Dr84] V. Drinfeld: *Finitely-additive measures on S^2 and S^3, invariant with respect to rotations*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **18** (1984), 245-246.

[GMP16] Grabowski, Ł., Máthe, A., Pikhurko, O.: *Measurable equidecompositions for group actions with an expansion property*, (preprint). arXiv:1601.02958

[Io14] A. Ioana: *Strong ergodicity, property (T), and orbit equivalence rigidity for translation actions*, arXiv:1406.6628, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.

[LV14] E. Lindenstrauss, P. P. Varjú: *Random walks in the group of Euclidean isometries and self-similar measures*, Duke Math. J. **165** (2016), no. 6, 1061-1127.

[Ma80] G. Margulis: *Some remarks on invariant means*, Monatsh. Math. **90** (1980), 233-235.

[Ma82] G. Margulis: *Finitely-additive invariant measures on Euclidian spaces*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **2** (1982), 383-396.

[Sc80] K. Schmidt: *Asymptotically invariant sequences and an action of $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ on the 2-sphere*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 193-208.

[Su81] D. Sullivan: *For $n > 3$ there is only one finitely additive rotationally invariant measure on the n-sphere on all Lebesgue measurable sets*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **4** (1981), 121-123.

[Va12] P. P. Varjú: *Random walks in Euclidean space*, Ann. of Math. (2) **181** (2015), no. 1, 243-301.

Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, Université de Bordeaux, 351 cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence Cedex, France

E-mail address: remi.boutonnet@math.u-bordeaux.fr

Department of Mathematics, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA, and IMAR, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: aioana@ucsd.edu